Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,012	DILLON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) <u>Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert C. Hall {Reg. No. 39,209}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 April 2008	Time: <u>12:02pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
rtojoolion(e) dieedeedd.		
Claims discussed: 48 and 56		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, April 2, 2008 @12:02pm and left a voice mail message requesting permission to: (1) delete "solvate" from claim 48 and (2) replace "treating" with "relieving" in claim 56. On Tuesday, April 8, 2008@6:21pm, Mr. Hall called the Examiner and left a voice mail message giving permission to make the suggested changes.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		